

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/054,555	01/22/2002	Jean-Marc Follini	68.0211	7181
7	590 03/01/2004		EXAM	INER
Schlumberger Technology Corporation			DANG, HOANG C	
Schlumberger Reservoir Completions			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 1590			3672	
Rosharon, TX 77583-1590			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Nella Antina Oranana	10/054,555	FOLLINI ET AL.				
(Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Hoang Dang	3672				
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply dror reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, acceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2003.					
2a)⊠ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition o	of Claims						
4)⊠ Clai	Claim(s) <u>1-12,15-25,27-33 and 38-48</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) (4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>4-6, 10-12, 15, 16, 27, 38 and 39</u> is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-9,18,22-25,28-33,40,42-44 and 46</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>17,19,20,41,45,47 and 48</u> is/are objected to.						
8)∐ Clai	m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application F	Papers						
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
<u> </u>	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 March 2002</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☑ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)∐ Ine	oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)		s have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents						
3	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
* Sec +	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
oce (ne attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)							
	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of D	Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3672

7

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 18, 21, 40, 42, 43 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Callihan et al (US 4,450,912).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's when members (16), (35) and (16e) of Callihan et al are respectively considered as "body", "anchoring mechanism" and "flow conduit" as recited.

As to claims 2-3, see sealing elements 16b, 16c, etc.

As to claims 7-9, 30 and 31, see sliding valves 17-18 and check valve 25 or/and 32.

As to claim 18, see radial ports 16g or/and 16h.

As for claims 21 and 28, see column 4, lines 58-63.

As for claims 23-25, the "landing mechanism" does not distinguish from member 35 of Callihan et al.

As for claims 40 and 46, see "tube" 16p.

Contrary to applicant's argument, Callihan clearly shows a flow conduit 16e extending from a body 16 of a cementing tool and adapted to engage a fluid channel (bore of member 22) of a guide shoe (22,30) where the flow conduit channels cement flow through the guide shoe to the annular region outside a casing assembly (see Figure 1B).

Application/Control Number: 10/054,555 Page 3

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callihan et al in view of Streich et al (US 5,718,287) or Smith (US 3,638,730).

Callihan et al discloses the invention as claimed except for the use of a lower cementing plug including a rupture element. Either Streich et al or Smith disclose a method for cementing a well casing. Both Streich et al and Smith teach the use of a rupture element on a lower cementing plug to keep the cement and mud from mixing as cement is pumped down (see column 4, lines 50-54 in Streich et al and column 3, lines 26-34 in Smith). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a rupture element in Callihan et al in view of the teaching of Streich et al or Smith for the advantage pointed out above.

5. Claims 22, 23, 24, 25, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al (US 2,079,830) in view of Streich et al '287 or Smith '730.

The Streich et al and Smith references are applied as above.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-25, 28-33 and 44 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4-6, 10-12, 15, 16, 27, 38 and 39 allowed.

8. Claims 17, 19, 20, 41, 45, 47 and 48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Drawings

9. The drawings filed on March 21, 2002 are objected to because parts shown in section in Figures 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9A, 9B and 10A-10J are not properly cross-hatched. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3672

showever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang Dang whose telephone number is 703-308-2149. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15-5:45 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoang Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

10054555.2fin February 24, 2004